

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:	
10/089,841	09/30/2002	Susanne Brakmann	B1180/20005	5272	
3000 CAESAR RIV	7590 02/26/2007 IVISE, BERNSTEIN, OKOTILOW, LTD. R, SEVEN PENN CENTER ET STREET		EXAMINER		
COHEN & PC			HANDY, D	HANDY, DWAYNE K	
11TH FLOOR 1635 MARKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19103-2212		1743		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE.	
			02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/089,841	BRAKMANN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Dwayne K. Handy	1743			

		Dwayne K. Halluy	1743	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
<b>-</b>	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	•	
nave unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) FICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AME	ENDMENTS	walling and allo polled corroral in t	57 Of IX 11.57 (u).	
3. 🛭	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered be	ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
. –	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			• •
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. L				
6. <u>L</u> ⊊	non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	Ü
/. <u> ×</u>	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:			,
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-24 and 27-33</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. ∟	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		n condition for allowar	nce because:
	<ul><li>☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 17 to now recite only glass as the base. This would require both a new search and/or a reworking of the current rejection involving the Maramatsu reference..

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700